## PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

To:	RNATIONAL SEAR	CHING AUTHO	ORITY		PCT
	see form P	CT/ISA/220		INTERNATIO	TTEN OPINION OF THE DNAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1)
				Date of mailing (day/month/year)	see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)
	icant's or agent's file to form PCT/ISA/22			FOR FURTHEF See paragraph 2 be	
	national application N T/DK2004/000619		International filing date (d 16.09.2004	iay/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 16.09.2003
	national Patent Class 4B1/58, C14B15/0		both national classification	and IPC	
	licant JGAARD INVES	T APS			
1.	This opinion co	ntains indicati	ons relating to the foll	owing items:	
	⊠ Box No. I				
	Box No. II	Basis of the or Priority	JiillOli		
	Box No. III	•	ment of oninion with rea	ard to novelty, inver	ntive step and industrial applicability
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of			
	⊠ Box No. V	Reasoned sta		s.1(a)(i) with regard s supporting such s	to novelty, inventive step or industrial tatement
	Box No. VI	Certain docum	nents cited		
	Box No. VII	Certain defect	s in the international app	olication	
	☑ Box No. VIII	Certain observ	ations on the internatio	nal application	
2.	FURTHER ACTI				
	written opinion o	f the Internation poses an Author reau under Rule	nal Preliminary Examinin rity other than this one to	g Authority ("IPEA" b be the IPEA and t	will usually be considered to be a ). However, this does not apply where he chosen IPEA has notifed the rnational Searching Authority
	submit to the IPI	EA a written rep date of mailing	ly together, where appro-	opriate, with amend	ne IPEA, the applicant is invited to ments, before the expiration of three on of 22 months from the priority date,
	For further optio	ns, see Form P	CT/ISA/220.		
3.	For further detai	ls, see notes to	Form PCT/ISA/220.		



European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Helpiö, T.

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-7495



IAP9 Rec'd PCT/PTO 16 MAR 2006

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/DK2004/000619

_	Вох		
1.	With	re lanç	gard to the <b>language</b> , this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in guage in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
	⊠	lan se:	is opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following guage. English, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international arch (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2.	With	n re ess	gard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and ary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a. ty	pe	of material:
	!		a sequence listing
	!		table(s) related to the sequence listing
	b. f	orm	at of material:
			in written format
			in computer readable form
	c. t	ime	of filing/furnishing:
			contained in the international application as filed.
			filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
			furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3	. 🗆	ha Co	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto as been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional opies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as opropriate, were furnished.
4	. Ad	ditio	onal comments:

_	Box	No. II	Priority			
١.	⊠	The fol	llowing document has	s not been	furnished	:
		⊠	copy of the earlier a	pplication	whose pri	ority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(a)).
						se priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(b)).
		_	al the seather	an nagaibl	n to consid	der the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has on that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.
2.		This o		blished as les 43 <i>bis</i> .	if no prior	ity had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim ). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international
3.						of the priority claim because a copy of the priority documed search was conducted (Rule 17.1). This opinion has on that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.
4.	Add		observations, if nece			
	Во	x No. V	Reasoned state	ment und	er Rule 43	bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or
	Bo Ind	x No. V Iustrial	Reasoned states applicability; citation	ment undons and e	er Rule 43 xplanatio	bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or ns supporting such statement
1.	Ind	x No. V lustrial	applicability; citation	ment und ons and e	er Rule 43 xplanatio	bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or ns supporting such statement
1.	Sta	lustrial atement	applicability; citation	ons and e	er Rule 43 explanation	sbis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or an ansatz supporting such statement
1.	Sta	lustrial	applicability; citation	ons and e	xplanatio	ns supporting such statement
1.	Sta No	tement	applicability; citation	Yes: No:	xplanation Claims Claims	ns supporting such statement
1.	Sta No	tement	applicability; citation	Yes: No:	xplanatio	ns supporting such statement  1-49
1.	Sta No Inv	tement velty (N	applicability; citation	Yes: No: Yes: No:	Claims Claims Claims Claims Claims	1-49 1-49
1.	Sta No Inv	tement velty (N	applicability; citation	Yes: No: Yes: No:	Claims Claims Claims	ns supporting such statement  1-49
1.	Sta No Inv	tement velty (N	applicability; citation	Yes: No: Yes: No: Yes:	Claims Claims Claims Claims Claims Claims	1-49 1-49
	Sta No Inv	lustrial atement velty (N rentive s	applicability; citation	Yes: No: Yes: No: Yes:	Claims Claims Claims Claims Claims Claims	1-49 1-49
	Sta No Inv	dustrial velty (Nentive stations a	applicability; citation  b)  step (IS)  applicability (IA)	Yes: No: Yes: No: Yes:	Claims Claims Claims Claims Claims Claims	1-49 1-49
	Sta No Inv	dustrial velty (Nentive stations a	applicability; citations  step (IS)  applicability (IA)	Yes: No: Yes: No: Yes:	Claims Claims Claims Claims Claims Claims	1-49 1-49

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

#### Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

- 1 Reference is made to the following documents:
  - D1: WO 01/62985 A (HEDEGAARD JENS) 30 August 2001 (2001-08-30)
  - D2: US-A-3 313 038 (BOLZ ROBERT E) 11 April 1967 (1967-04-11)
- The document D1 is regarded as being the closest prior art to the subject-matter of claim 1, and discloses (cf. especially page 9, line 16 page 10, line 30; figures):

"Method for the drying of the leather side of pelts (6) from furred animals, where the pelt is applied and stretched on the outside of a distension element (2) with the leather side facing the peltboard (2), and where the pelt (6) is secured in this position during the drying process by the drawing-on of a holding bag (8) which, at least over a part of the lower end of the pelt (6), presses this against the board (2)".

The subject-matter of claim 1 therefore differs from this known method in that:

"the distension element is <u>hollow</u> and its surface has an <u>open structure</u>, and the drying of the leather side of the pelt takes place by replacement of the air inside the cavity of the distension element, and herewith the air which exists under the open structure".

The problem to be solved by the present invention may therefore be regarded as providing an improved method for the drying of the leather side of pelts ensuring a more effective replacement of drying air.

The pelt board according to D1 has an essentially closed surface and a solid structure comprising a longitudinal slot opening (16) into which air is introduced via a hose (18). This structure limits the replacement of air between the pelt and the pelt board. Document D2 discloses an open frame for drying pelts. The frame comprises means for blowing drying air inside the pelt when it is stretched on the frame. D2 does not disclose the use of a holding-bag for securing the pelt into its position during drying.

There is no indication in D1 or D2 which would lead the skilled person, in order to solve the above problem, to modify and/or combine their teachings and thereby arrive to the subject-matter of claim 1. Therefore, claim 1 appears to satisfy the requirements of Article 33 PCT with regard to novelty and inventive step.

- The subject-matter of the independent claim 3 relates to a system for conducting the method according to claim 1. The drying system comprises a distension element and a drying aggregate interacting with the distension element. The subject-matter of the independent claim 4 relates to a distension element for use in the drying system according to claim 3. The subject-matter of the independent claims 42 and 45 relates to a drying aggregate for use in the drying system according to claim 3. The subject-matter of these independent claims is also considered new and inventive over documents D1 and D2.
- Dependent claims 2, 5-41, 43, 44 and 46-49 add further features to the independent claims and as such they also meet the requirements of the PCT with respect to novelty and inventive step.

#### Re Item VIII

### Certain observations on the international application

- Claims 4, 42 and 45 are formulated as if they were dependent claims. These claims, however, do not contain <u>all the features</u> of the claim they refer to, as required by Rule 6.4 (a) and (b) PCT. The scope of protection of these claims is not clear and, as a consequence, the present application does not fulfil the requirements of Article 6 PCT.
- The non-uniform use of terms "distension element" and "pelt board" results in unclarity (Art. 6 PCT) of the claims.

\*\*\*\*